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Abstract

India has witnessed great changes in its Muslim personal law, which much like other personal laws has not been just towards women. But the feminist legal reforms that have occurred through legislative or judicial means have largely been from outside the paradigm of religion. This leads to Muslim women being governed by personal laws which are largely created by male interpretations and/or state interference, implicitly condoning (and at times supporting) an illegitimate hierarchy of sexes, which under the guise of protection of religion, greatly impacts the identity of the Muslim woman. Feminist jurisprudence operates exclusively by reforming social and legal norms, while excluding religious norms entirely.

This article, therefore, undertakes an examination of the reforms in and feminist jurisprudence on Muslim personal law through the lens of intersectionality. It will
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provide a brief introduction to the sources of Muslim personal law and the judicial and juristic trends that have led to its patriarchal nature. Subsequently, a theoretical examination of feminism will be undertaken to highlight its exclusion of religious Muslim women from its subjects. This creates a conundrum where Muslim women are caught between either being Muslim or being women. Islamic feminism, a transnational discourse and movement which has emphasized on injecting women’s voices into hermeneutics and the critical study of Islamic jurisprudence will then be described in brief. The article thus concludes, that Islamic feminism serves as a method that is both intersectional as well as important for creating legal change that preserves the religious autonomy and identity of the Muslim woman.

I. INTRODUCTION

Personal law in India has been the source of much debate and controversy. There have been lengthy Constitutional Assembly debates about the Hindu Code Bills, several demands for a Uniform Civil Code and a history of controversy over Muslim Personal Law (“MPL”) which started in the 1980s and was recently seen in the Shayara Bano v. Union of India (“Shayara Bano”) where the Supreme Court invalidated the practice of triple talaq. It does not come as a surprise that the personal law system in India, when viewed
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1Shayara Bano v. Union of India (2017) 9 SCC 1.
through a gender lens, points out many inequalities, especially when referring to MPL. While it cannot be said that Indian law has made no attempts to alleviate the unfair laws against Muslim women, it can also be contested that these attempts have been outside of the paradigm of religion and have involved either imposition of secular law or overreach by judges in their roles, such as the Muslim Women (Protection of Rights on Divorce) Act 1986. This leaves Muslim women with personal laws which are largely created by male interpretations and/or state interference, implicitly condoning (and at times supporting) an illegitimate hierarchy of sexes, which under the guise of protection of religion, greatly impacts the identity of the Muslim woman. This protection of religion implicitly assumes that religion and its laws are for men to create.

At the same time, state interference is itself undesirable because for feminist jurisprudence to increase and maintain intersectionality, it is necessary to take into consideration the importance of the religious and cultural identity of Muslim women and prevent infringement of these identities by any law made for the welfare of women in general. With Shayara Bano still being a recent memory and the practice of polygyny and nikah halal soon to be examined by a constitution bench of the Supreme Court, it is important to examine the feminist jurisprudence of MPL. Muslim women get caught between Western feminists who often think of Islam as antithetical to feminism and Muslim reactionaries, who cling to narrow, oppressive interpretations, solidifying western stereotypes. This article examines the reformation of MPL from an intersectional feminist lens to highlight certain assumptions that the feminist struggle has held in India,
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relating to securing Muslim women’s rights. If feminism operates exclusively by reforming social and legal norms but opposing or at best distancing itself from religion at the same time, it would be eschewing religious norms. It is likely that this would produce an incomplete understanding of Muslim women’s experiences and discrimination, often originating from religious sources and would not only force them to be alienated from the mainstream feminist movement but also impose laws that diminish what Farrah Ahmed has called religious autonomy. This article highlights that this creates a conundrum, where the law is required to be reformed but also not overlook the importance of women’s religious identities, in a system that already emphasizes personal laws created from an understanding of religion that overlooks the voices of the myriad women who also subscribe to it.

The Islamic feminist movement, a transnational discourse that began in the late twentieth century and which has worked as a local movement as well in India with Muslim women’s rights activists has emphasized on injecting women’s voices into hermeneutics, subsequently using the different meanings derived by these women to create legal reforms. Agreeing with the importance of such hermeneutics in creating laws, this article critiques the law in view of the Islamic feminist project and analyses the arguments of Islamic feminists for the purpose of producing an intersectional feminist perspective in India that offers a way out of the conundrum at hand.

7Nadja-Christina Schneider, ‘Islamic Feminism and Muslim Women’s Rights Activism in India: From Transnational Discourse to Local Movement – or Vice Versa?’ (2009) 11(1) Journal of International Women’s Studies 56-71.
II. **Muslim Personal Law in India and Reforms**

Muslim Personal Law in India or Muhammadan law, as is commonly called has been said to be “so intimately connected with religion that [it] cannot readily be dissevered from it”.⁸ The sources of this shari’‘a in general are the Qur’an believed to be the direct word of God by the Muslim faith, the sunnah, ijmā and qiyas,⁹ only the former two considered divine although there is dissent as to the divine nature of sunnah.¹⁰ While it is important to understand a meaningful distinction between shari’‘a and fiqh, for the purposes of this article it is sufficient to know that shari’‘a is the knowledge that can only be identified from the divine sources of Qur’an and sunnah, while fiqh is its human understanding and application to create practical legal rules. ‘The path of sharī’‘ah is laid down by God and His Messenger; the edifice of fiqh is erected by human endeavour’.¹¹ It has been argued that despite classical theorists of Islam having emphasized the infallible and unchangeable nature of the law of the Qur’an — the primary source of Islamic law, it has changed with changing times and society and has not become fossilized.¹² It shall be shown in forthcoming discussion, how important this distinction is to reformers today.

Reforms in these derived laws have not been easy. Among other interpretative concepts in Islamic law, *ijtihad* plays a great role. Literally meaning ‘striving or exertion’, *ijtihad* is defined as “the total expenditure of effort ... in order to infer, with a degree of probability, 
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⁸ *Gobind Dayal v. Inayatullah* (1885) 9 All 775,781.
¹¹ *ibid* 16.
the rules of Sharī’ah from the detailed evidence in the sources”.¹³ In other words, it is the right to interpret the divine sources of Islamic law. However the Indian legal system gave recognition to the concept of the ‘closing of the door of ījtihād’: a widely-believed consensus by tenth-century religious scholars – which Muslims thereafter widely adhered to – to put an end to ījtihād.¹⁴ In the case of Aga Mahomed Jaffer v Koolsom Beebee the Privy Council accepted this doctrine of closing of doors, allegedly due to political reasons and held, “It would be wrong for the Court on a point of this kind to put their own construction on the Koran in opposition to the express ruling of commentators of such antiquity and high authority”.¹⁵ A disinclination to facilitate legal reforms in Islamic law thus, received a binding legal precedent.

With this, it set a legal trend which has been criticized for firstly, being in line with the colonial objective of keeping their Muslim subjects backwards while pleasing the conservatives who disapproved ījtihād and secondly, for the continued reliance on commentaries of ‘high authority’, which are prone to inaccuracies because of translation from Persian or Arabic to English.¹⁶ The latter additionally can be observed to be giving primacy to commentaries exclusively of male voices, considering that few of these commentaries could be found to be written by women. Both these trends are evident in the legal reforms in MPL. The first was present in the Parliament’s reversal of the Shah Bano judgment — a move criticized by many as Muslim appeasement¹⁷ and both can be seen in the most recent Shayara Bano where the minority judges declared it the task of the
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Imam—the religious head—to interpret and decide the correct teachings of Islam. This raises the concern that since most religious heads are male, the judges assume that he would be objective in a case where the respondent itself is a major religious body, comprising such religious scholars, out of which only a handful are women.\textsuperscript{18} The entanglement between the state and patriarchal religious organisations forces Muslim women to look for alternative ways to secure their rights. At the same time, the colonial state did interfere by deciding which matters would be governed by MPL, which religious doctrines would be treated as law even if it was regarded as not mandatory by scholars. This displaced a system of “\textit{different interpretation and nuanced instances of discretion that often accompanies shari’a norms… [with] a rulebound legal system}”.\textsuperscript{19} MPL thus, became a crystallization of traditions, mostly patriarchal in nature, that might otherwise have evolved. This works to maintain the existing power relations.\textsuperscript{20}

Asghar Ali Engineer, a noteworthy Indian scholar known for his work on women’s rights in Islam was deeply critical of the Muslim jurists, the \textit{ulema}, for their mechanical application of the British-made MPL.\textsuperscript{21} These jurists religiously followed the notion of ‘the closing of the gates of \textit{ijtihad}’ which was popularised by the Western author Joseph Schacht. He argued that following a period of \textit{ijtihad} in its formative years, there was a consensus which prohibited further \textit{ijtihad}, beginning an age where new legal doctrines could not be

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Out of the 50 Executive members in the All India Muslim Personal Law Board (AIMPLB) only 4 are women and out of 149 General members, only 26 are women <http://www.aimplboard.in/index.php> accessed 21 October 2019.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
derived independently from the Qur’an and sunnah, but only from the already existing fiqh rules of a scholar’s school of legal thought. Modern scholars have attacked Schacht’s thesis as being mythical, by demonstrating that it had never been so that ijtihad had completely stopped. Those qualified to perform ijtihad and the process itself always existed in varying capacities throughout history. Engineer criticizes the Indian ulema for continuing to shy away from ijtihad and continuing to apply the medieval formulations of classical Islamic law. They issue fatwas without any consideration of its consequences. The most apt illustration of this is the case of Imrana, a young married Muslim woman who was raped at gunpoint by her father-in-law. This was followed by a fatwa by a Deobandi scholar, which opined that due to sexual relations with her father-in-law, her marriage was invalidated. The Supreme Court noted several such fatwas, some even forcing survivors to marry their rapist. However, though it was restricted and discouraged, the court allowed fatwas to continue being issued.

The qadi—the Muslim predecessor to the colonial judge—operated very differently from the current judges. No qadi was bound by the opinion of another, unlike stare decisis in common law. Instead, they would mutually recognize differences in interpretations to achieve justice in varying factual situations. Unlike the qadi, who was trained in the spirit of Islam and Islamic law, the British and later Indian judges instead applied principles textually and mechanically based on

---

22Joseph Schacht, An Introduction to Islamic Law (OUP 1965) 69-75.
While judges themselves are not competent to interpret religious sources, recently they have attempted to mitigate the rigour of MPL against women. In a case dealing with a challenge to Section 494 of the Indian Penal Code for allowing polygamy for Muslim men, the Allahabad court relying on several commentaries held:

“Polygamy under Islam was always an exception, and never a generality. Then polygamy went and goes with the obligation of equality, equity, justice to be discharged or dispensed amongst more than one wife. The Koran speaks of conscience as an obligation on the husband before taking two, or three or four wives. It speaks of equality of love amongst wives, and equality which is within the sole perception of the woman, not the male. It is a hard discipline of the Islamic religion which requires perfection as any wife in a polygamous marriage can as of right speak out in a case of inequal treatment, and make matters difficult for a husband.”

The High Courts of Kerala, and recently Gujarat have also made similar observations. In these examples, the court took note of scholarly opinions that were more just towards women’s rights and used them to inform their understanding. A brief hint of acceptance of such a method can be observed in the judgement of Kurian, J. in the Shayara Bano case, which recognizes the religious importance of MPL but instead of protecting it as religious freedom, he tested talaq-
e-biddat as a practice against Qur’an and Islamic teachings itself.\(^{30}\)
However despite such attempts, the underlying reality persists: Islam and legal rule-making in Islam is exclusively hegemonized by men and secular law continues to overlook this. Thus, MPL continues to harbour practices like polygyny, nikah halal and until very recently, talaq-e-biddat, all of which are unjust towards women. Shayara Bano has not dealt with many of these practices that are unjust towards women.\(^{31}\)

### III. INTERSECTIONALITY AND FEMINISM

Exploring reform in MPL requires that it be understood that some of the main agents of change, i.e., feminists and the State have more often than not been in dissonance with the experiences of religious women and religion as another social division that can contribute to change. There have been great debates about the fundamental right to religious practice and propagation, the judge’s role as theologian and the fate of religious practice being tested on the touchstone of ‘constitutional morality’ as emphasized in the Sabrimala case.\(^{32}\)

While the State’s opinion of religion is a topic of much interest in itself, this article focuses only on the former to show how feminism often excludes religion.

In the introduction to Bell Hooks’ *Ain’t I a Woman*, her criticism of mainstream feminism, that, it assumes that ‘All women are White and all Blacks are men’,\(^{33}\) started a movement for the development of a method of recognizing social divisions to understand analytical
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\(^{30}\) Ibid (n 1) para 25.


differences between women. First coined by Kimberle Crenshaw, it set in motion a significant transformation of feminism which came to be called intersectionality. Hooks’ criticism brings to light the tendency for women to be constructed as a single homogenous group by only considering their shared oppression and ignoring the specific ones by overlooking the power relations between different groups of women. Beginning with a focus on the specific struggles of Black women in “the various ways in which race and gender interact to shape the multiple dimensions of Black women’s experiences”, the idea of intersectionality has now expanded much beyond and draws attention to intersections of race, class, sexuality, caste, ability and nation.

Intersectional feminism raises the question that if feminist theory claims to capture the experiences and aspirations of all women, then who is it that defines what ‘woman’ is? To Hooks, feminism is “a movement to end sexism, sexist exploitation, and oppression”, and so it must include the lived experiences and struggles of all those who are struggling against sexism. This becomes especially important when certain groups such as Black women in Crenshaw’s case or Muslim women are left out from feminism reflecting their experiences. This implicitly means that the definition of ‘woman’ as defined by mainstream feminists would not include Black or Muslim women. This leads to what has been called gender essentialism, which
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has been a criticism by many against feminists such as Catherine MacKinnon, for creating a universal idea of ‘woman’ but which actually only includes middle-class, heterosexual, white women thus, making the experiences of other women ancillary to the interests of feminism and silence them.\(^{39}\) This makes it difficult to speak about a universal ‘woman.’

In the Indian context, another problem with mainstream feminism that follows from this has been the emphasis put on choice. Chandra Mohanty has argued that while western feminism is itself not a monolith, there have been similarities in much scholarship that has formed the idea of the third world woman from a western feminist lens, which has tended to homogenize religious women as victims who are unable to see the allegedly inherent patriarchy in their religion, thus reproducing colonial notions.\(^{40}\) With choice being a necessary element for autonomy and agency that western feminists rely on, being religious becomes a blanket oppressive force which takes away from women and their agency as they relinquish their rights to become part of an oppressive community. This is exacerbated by the False Consciousness thesis, which propounds that Muslim women are brainwashed victims of men and patriarchal institutions, Islam included, who act against their own objective interest by following religion.\(^{41}\) Such a view overlooks the possibility that women can choose to be religious. It creates a convenient distinction between which choices are emancipatory by assuming that choices can be made outside of power relations and thus, the choice to
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be religious —religion itself being seen as depriving of agency— is not free, while other choices may be. An example of this is the Western view that a woman wearing a burqa would be thought of as being regressive and a victim to Islam. It has been argued, however, that for many Muslim women, wearing a burqa (or not wearing it) can be a political act of asserting her identity or agency. So the same way ‘objectivity’ is itself an example of the reification of white male thought, it may be said that feminism becomes a reification of the white, heterosexual, secular female thought.

IV. ISLAMIC FEMINISM

If intersectionality in feminist jurisprudence is the aim, then how exactly may Muslim women argue against patriarchy from within their religion, especially if MPL is riddled with patriarchal practices and androcentric laws? This article proposes Islamic feminism as a solution to the conundrum at hand. With its many varying definitions and shades, a brief understanding of Islamic feminism is required to understand its application in India. It can be defined as a discourse or “an analytical category to understand a multi-faced phenomenon where Islam is used to argue for an expansion of women’s rights or a term of identity.” It is both a transnational discourse that started in the late 1990s and a local movement in India, with the latter existing independently before the former started. The key idea of Islamic feminism is the use of feminist hermeneutics to produce readings of

43Gloria T. Hull and Barbara Smith, ‘Introduction’ in Gloria T. Hull, Patricia Bell Scott and Barbara Smith (eds), But Some of Us are Brave xxv (The Feminist Press, 1982).
45bid (n 7).
religious sources which are used to women and debunk the relationship between patriarchy and Islam. For this it is immensely important to understand the immutability of shari’a versus the flexible fiqh. That State sanctioned Muslim family laws – either enacted or customary – is fixed and non-negotiable is a belief that is commonly held. Women’s demands for justice are silenced by designating these laws as ‘God’s Law’ and God’s direct commandments.  

46 Muslim jurists also carry the same assumption that MPL is divine law which cannot be tampered with by humans.  

47 This presents an obstacle to reform and so, Islamic feminists stress on the difference. They apply their own ijtihad and highlight the egalitarian nature of Islam to argue that the patriarchal model is against Islamic values.  

48 Two voices emerged out of Islam’s evolution: 1) an ethical vision with spiritual equality of men and women and 2) a hierarchical structure based on male-female relationship. The androcentric and misogynist Arabic society in which Islamic doctrine subsequently developed, emphasized and eventually sacralised the latter voice of hierarchy.  

49 Two ways in which Islamic feminism operates have been identified by Mulki Al- Sharmani. The first, a feminist exegesis of the Qur’an, which looks to the Qur’an as a source of liberation for Muslim women and the second, is an engagement with fiqh which is deconstructed to highlight the hierarchy of genders that has been part of Islamic law.  

50 The working of both methods shall be illustrated
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presently to clarify how Islamic feminism helps Muslim women exercise agency and bring positive changes to their legal rights, especially in the context of family law.

A. Women in Classical Law

Ontologically, conservatives prove male authority by the theological idea of a woman as unequal and supplementary to man and the traditional Islamic view on female sexuality as well as readings of Qur’anic verses granting men a ‘degree’ above women. The woman was created for the man and from the man; ontologically making man God’s primary creation and the woman secondary, who is additionally also made responsible for what is known as Man’s Fall from the Garden of Eden.51 Her prime function is hence, childbirth.

The traditional view on sexuality is equally problematic. Female sexuality is viewed as active and dangerous, directly linking security of social order to a woman’s sexuality and confuses sexual self-determination of a woman with uncontrolled promiscuity and fitna (chaos), with women being primary agents of fitna and destructive for social order.52 It causes men to lose focus and capitulate to the sexual advances of women.53 It is the epitome of fitna and synonymous with Satan.54 This necessitates the suppression of female sexuality through means including, *inter alia*, veiling. The control over women’s sexuality is also central to much Qur’anic interpretation which forces upon women a religious duty to protect their chastity for the honour

This traditional view is problematic because firstly, it is androcentric in its approach, as it seeks to systematically protect men by suppressing female sexuality and maintaining the man as superior over women. Secondly, this treats women not only as threats to humanity, but also outside of it, leading to a dehumanization that propagates the idea that men are the primary recipients of God’s message. Any liberation of women is opposed since Muslim societies socialize men in a manner which does not equip them to deal with self-determined women, and so it is conflated with chaos.

B. Deconstructing Classical Law

This forms much of the basis for much of the imbalance in the legal rights of women and men in MPL and fiqh in general. Islamic feminist scholars criticize fiqh by arguing that rights in marriage and gender roles are therefore constructed in a fashion that is hierarchical and discriminatory against women through the law. They argue that in relation to women's rights, fiqh rulings do not embody the ideals of the shari‘a, but rather the jurists’ shared legal and gender assumptions which were a product of the social norms of their times, especially in the formative period of Islam.

Mir Hosseini demonstrates this with the illustration of marriage. She argues that even though jurists took great care to not equate marriage with a sale, the conception of women’s rights in a marriage is built on the logic of sale. The analogy itself has featured and affirmed repeatedly in court judgments with differing opinions. The woman's sexuality becomes a commodity, which is accessed exclusively by her husband, even if she herself is not his commodity. There is an
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exchange of duties and rights: the man's right to ‘unhampered sexual access’ and the woman's duty to provide it and the woman's right to maintenance and the man's duty to provide it. The husband’s right over sexual pleasure was to such a degree that he could also restrict the wife’s movements to keep her available for himself. Failure to obey the husband would result in forfeiting his support. But the Qur’an condemned the subjugation of women, which is the opposite of what was achieved by relying on the logic of a contract of sale. Engineer states that the Muslim scholarly discourse for “women is duty-based and for men is right-based”, which is the opposite of the Qur’anic discourse. Therefore, the marriage contract and its duties were elevated to a religious, immutable status, remaining a contract but something more as well. The extent of this is so deep that it can be seen in the works of Asaf A.A. Fyzee, regarded as a modern reformist scholar and cited frequently by courts.

Classical jurists, by citing God as the authority, made the foundations of women’s gender rights virtually fixed. This fixture reflected the culture and social fabric of the society of that time. This was underpinned by the exclusion of women from participating in the knowledge production of both, religion and law, which marginalized their voices. Later scholars found support in two ideas: complementarity and science. While men and women are equal, God assigned different roles to them and so, there is no hierarchy. This essentially means that women’s natures complement men’s and vice versa, describing it as not only natural and harmonious, but also

59 ibid (n 21).
60 Fyzee (n 9) 70.
61 ibid (n 57) 13-14.
divine. Since the values attached to these gender roles may vary in differing contexts, this has been criticized as an inherently unequal relationship, which is rhetorically constructed so that a disruption would fundamentally destroy Islam.62

An illustration of such complementarity espoused by many contemporary feminist scholars, is that classical Islamic law levies no obligation on the wife to perform housework.63 Such an argument is used to push forward a view of Islamic law as egalitarian and liberal. It implicitly accepts the complementary nature of the obligations of the husband and wife, immediately limiting the framework within which such feminists can argue. Kecia Ali criticizes such arguments for overlooking that the reason housework is not being made an obligation is because her main legal role is to be sexually available to the husband. ‘Sex in marriage was almost exclusively a female duty and a male right’.64 There were no enforceable rights for women. Socio-economic circumstances also cannot be ignored, since all women would not be in a position to avoid housework if there is no help, which makes the family laws which are based on such ideas largely unsustainable. Later ‘scientific’ justifications – alien to classical fiqh– also began being used, arguing that it was women’s nature to live under rule and leadership of husbands by emphasizing female psychology – allegedly emotional and nurturing – and biological functions of childbirth; and extending it to domestic functions.65

Women were consistently excluded from active participation in the process of developing fiqh. Islamic history itself has the presence of

63 ibid (n 50) 12-13.
64 ibid (n 58) 173-179.
65 Omaima Abou-Bakr, ‘The Interpretative Legacy of Qiwamah as an Exegetical Construct’ in Ziba Mir-Hosseini et al. (eds), Men in Charge? Rethinking Authority in Muslim Legal Tradition (One World, 2014) 98.
many significant women like Khadijah and Aishah, yet classical
*tafsir* has created and defended male authority, primarily by
dictating that women are “*weak, inferior, intellectually incapable, and
spiritually lacking*”, and justify traditional gender roles by attributing
to it a divine mutuality and complementarity. Women were confined
to being objects, rather than the subjects of Islamic law. The ideals of
*shari’a*, which advocate for equality, justice and freedom were
ignored and even deterred in being implemented by the social norms
of the formative period of Islamic jurisprudence. These social norms
became legal norms on being used by jurists who assimilated these
into jurisprudence and commentaries, establishing ideas of ownership
and authority over women. Yet, these *tafsir*, which were supposed
to be ancillary to the Qur’an, “became part of that past actuality now
attached to the contents of the Quran, with the consequence that [it]
came to be regarded as beyond question or doubt”, and it is these
interpretations which are applied when constructing the *shari’a*,
personal laws, private Islamic affairs and public policies.

C. *De-Patriarchalising Islamic Law*

Fazlur Rahman’s work is one of the first to present and argue for a
thematic study of the Qur’an over a passage-by-passage study to
achieve a holistic understanding of the Qur’an. A distinction must
be made between Qur’an’s moral injunctions and legal enactments
specifically aimed at its seventh century audience. Muslim tradition
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66 *Tafsir* (pl. *tafasir*) is the Arabic word which most commonly refers to
commentaries on the Qur’an based on exegesis.

67 Amina Wadud, *Qur’an and Woman: Rereading the Sacred Text from a Woman’s
Perspective* (OUP 1999) 7; Amina Wadud, *Inside the Gender Jihad: Women’s

68 ibid (n 57) 21-22

271.

has failed to do so and has instead looked at the Qur’an as a religious source of laws, which creates contradictions within different verses of the Qur’an and fails to understand its underlying unity. “Some of the greatest restrictions on women, causing them much harm, have resulted from interpreting Qur’anic solutions for particular problems as if they were universal principles”.\textsuperscript{71} Instead of being a lawbook, Qur’an, as Rahman argues, “is the divine response, through the Prophet’s mind, to the moral-social situation of the Prophet’s Arabia, particularly to the problems of commercial Meccan society of the day”.\textsuperscript{72} Verses then must be divided into three types: 1) verses with universal aims, such as justice and equality, 2) temporary verses with time specific application, such as distribution of war spoils and 3) verses that need reinterpretations with change in time.\textsuperscript{73}

Islamic feminists use creative theological arguments to prove the anti-patriarchal nature of the Qur’an. Masculinizing God and supporting male hierarchy is anti-Islamic, since it is akin to idolatry and violates the principles of ‘God’s Unity’, which is central to Islam.\textsuperscript{74} The androcentric notion of ‘Creation’ is also denied. Riffat Hasan notes that the Qur’an nowhere makes a distinction between the creation of man and woman, with the above assumptions seeping in through pre-Islamic foreign influences. She relies on hermeneutics and linguistics, to argue for the lack of gender-specificity in the Qur’anic verses. Verse 4:1 describes women and men as being made of a single \textit{nafs} (soul) which is conceptionally neither male nor female, with no

\textsuperscript{71}Amina Wadud, \textit{Qur’an and Woman: Rereading the Sacred Text from a Woman’s Perspective} (OUP 1999) 99.
\textsuperscript{73}Asma Lamrabet, ‘An Egalitarian Reading of the Concepts of \textit{Khilafah}, \textit{Wilayah} and \textit{Qiwamah’} in Ziba Mir-Hosseini et al. (eds), \textit{Men in Charge? Rethinking Authority in Muslim Legal Tradition} (One World, 2014) 121-122.
\textsuperscript{74}ibid (n 2) 106.
linguistic basis to attribute the original nafs to the male Adam.\textsuperscript{75} The Qur’an has used the word adam not only as a name but as a collective noun, often interchangeably used with al-insān, meaning humanity. Ḥawwā’ (Eve) is not directly named and instead mentioned as Adam’s zawj (mate),\textsuperscript{76} which is a masculine noun, and so it does not exclusively refer to women. Adam then, not necessarily male, makes its zawj not necessarily female.\textsuperscript{77} Not only is this important to establish gender-neutrality in this context, but also to provide an equal moral capacity to women and men, so that it is not women who have to be specifically regulated. This methodology illustrates the first method of Islamic feminism in Al-Sharmani’s distinction.

Hasan finds in hadith literature the seeping in of ideas of inequality into Islamic traditions, including the Sahih al-Bukhari and Sahih Muslim, the two most authoritative Sunni Islamic sources after the Qur’an. The validity of the content of a hadith is determined by its list and chain of transmitters. Much of the views on the dangers of female sexuality are also derived from hadith which attribute sayings to the Prophet by transmitters that paint misogynist pictures and increase the dehumanization by sayings likening women to animals. Fatema Mernissi has posited that many of these are reflective of the transmitters’ personal misogynist views and even mistakes in listening, and on a historical analysis appear to have logical holes, fitting too conveniently in the political circumstances of the transmitter at times. They also contradicted clarifications given by the Prophet’s wives, undoubtedly a stronger source for confirming the practices of the Prophet, with many clarifications that they gave–more


\textsuperscript{76}See Surah al-Baqarah 2:35; Surah al-A’raf\textsuperscript{7}: 19; Surah-Ta Ha 20: 117.

egalitarian in nature— not being incorporated in the compilation of the hadith, including al-Bukari’s hadith.\footnote{Fatima Mernissi, Women and Islam: An Historical and Theological Enquiry (Mary Jo Lakeland tr., Basil Blackwell 1991) 49-84.}

Similar methods are used for deriving new meanings out of the Qur’an and deconstructing those meanings from the Qur’an as well as other sources, which contribute to creating a hierarchy among genders. This must not be confused with arguments of many apologetic scholars who, while unearthing the rights of women in Islam fail to notice the hierarchical background within which rights are being searched for. Instead, a new approach to the Qur’an is required which radically changes the concepts of marriage, divorce and other matters pertaining to family laws.\footnote{ibid (n 58) 181.} In Engineer’s view, the ulema is contradictory in its support of Qur’anic ideals and its immutability on one hand, and on the other, its application to women’s rights.\footnote{ibid (n 21).} The Qur’anic verses on polygyny (4:3) demand justice between wives as a necessary qualification, which makes polygyny an exception and not the rule. Yet, the ulema completely ignore this, instead making polygyny virtually a privilege for Muslim men. Islamic feminists argue that Islam is opposed to polygyny. It restricted already rampant polygyny and intended for it to gradually end with the progress of society from the pre-Islamic ways of Arabia. They intra-textually read Verse 3 and Verse 129 of the fourth surah of the Qur’an:

\begin{enumerate}
\item[a) ] If you can be just and fair among women, then you can marry up to four wives. [Verse 4:4]
\item[b) ] If you cannot be just and fair among women, then you may marry only one.
\end{enumerate}
c) You cannot be just and fair among women. [Verse 4:129] Therefore, you cannot marry multiple women. The Gujarat High Court has taken cognizance of such arguments. It has also relied on scholarly work which historically contextualizes polygyny and commented, "the code upon which polygamy rests in Islam is strict and difficult to keep...It is for the maulvis and Muslim men to ensure that they do not abuse the Quran to justify the heinously patriarchal act of polygamy in self-interest".

While Qur’anic re-interpretation is beneficial, from a legal point of view, a focus on jurisprudence is just as necessary. The second group of scholars in Al-Sharmani’s distinction, such as Ali and Mir-Hosseini deconstruct Islamic law to separate its divine elements from its human ones and subject it to historical contextualization to highlight the inequality at its very source i.e., within the knowledge-development process, which is very much what modern family laws rest on. Ali argues that Islamic feminism must focus on jurisprudence because even if scriptural re-interpretations are achieved, the field of jurisprudence is entirely left to traditionalist thinking otherwise. Some scholars focus solely on re-interpretation while others on jurisprudence. In Al-Sharmani’s view, both groups are of great importance and work in synthesis, where the first unearthing an anti-patriarchal Islam which provides normative weight to the legal reforms in Muslim family laws. Islamic feminism, much like other academic projects, has its own politics and internal disagreements, which need not be discussed here. What needs to be understood is its multi-level methods of operation and the impact it serves. Its most important function is that it brings women to the
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forefront of Islam, participating as moral and intellectual equals to men in the construction of religion and religious laws.

V. **ISLAMIC FEMINISM AS INTERSECTIONALITY**

This article argues that feminist jurisprudence needs to look at multiple axes of ‘woman’ and religion. This will be supported by two reasons, both of which work interconnectedly: first, that the existing personal laws already do not recognize women as being part of constructing Islam; second, that following a path that seeks to end gender injustice without addressing reforms in MPL implicitly falls prey to the same assumption that Islam is inherently patriarchal, the consequences of which would adversely impact the religious autonomy of Muslim women.

**A. MPL Hinders Religious Autonomy**

In Farrah Ahmed’s view, religious autonomy means personal autonomy of human life in the specific sphere of religion. She relies on Rawls to create a link between self-respect and autonomy—which he stated to be essential to one’s life—and argued that non-recognition of any important part of a person’s identity injures the person’s self-respect, in turn reducing autonomy. Charles Taylor has suggested that non-recognition or misrecognition constitutes a form of oppression. He writes:

The thesis is that our identity is partly shaped by recognition or its absence often by the misrecognition of others, and so a person or group of people can suffer real damage, real distortion, if the people or society around them mirror back to them a confining or demeaning or contemptible picture of themselves. Non-recognition or
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misrecognition can inflict harm, can be a form of oppression, imprisoning someone in a false, distorted, and reduced mode of being.\textsuperscript{86}

Building on this thesis, it can be contested that the current system of MPL does not recognize any heterodoxy in its laws, to the extent that it confines itself to crystallized patriarchal traditions and relies on old commentaries which are considered ‘authoritative’, as mentioned earlier. This brings with it the issue that even a person who interprets a religious doctrine differently will be forced to govern herself by an interpretation of that doctrine that she does not agree with. Thus, MPL, being a collection of state-endorsed religious interpretations, misrecognizes such people who do not share the same interpretation of religious doctrine. Ahmed has argued that this interpretation of religious doctrine might be of great importance to a person’s religious life.\textsuperscript{87} This becomes even more important when different sects and schools of jurisprudence of Islamic law in India are considered.

However, the focus of this article is women and their interpretations, which only adds to this, since most of the current personal law is based on older and patriarchal rules, if not inaccurate collections of interpretations. If heterodoxy is not recognized, then the current law will be followed, which creates gender hierarchies. These can have drastic deviations from the interpretations of a woman which can be exemplified in the following; Verse 4:34 of the Qur’an, which talks about marital discord includes the Arabic word \emph{Wahjurūhnna} the most obvious meaning of which is to ‘separate beds from your wife’. This has however been interpreted by revered Muslim scholars as ‘confining the wife to home’, ‘refusing to talk to her during sex’ and
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even ‘tying her up and forcing her to have sex.’

Realistically, polygyny, which is interpreted by Islamic feminists to be unacceptable in Islam, has been a part of MPL. This shows that by not recognizing the importance of individual interpretations, a wife could very well be forced to be governed by the misogynistic interpretations that become law, which is not only unjust on the face of it, it is also forcing onto her a religious practice that she does not believe in.

This raises questions of her autonomy being affected. There are many ways for religious women to exercise agency and reinterpret their religion to empower themselves while navigating the complexities of their lives. The same way that the law here is painting all Muslims with the same brush, feminist jurisprudence, by ignoring the agency of religious women is contributing to harming their religious autonomy. This is evident in the Indian struggle against the gender injustices of MPL. There has been a great demand for a Uniform Civil Code, a proposal that is also enshrined in Article 44 of the Indian Constitution. The secular nature of the demanded code became increasingly Hindu, with secularism itself taking a character of ‘secularism in a Hindu way’ and the demands for gender justice became coloured with the community and nationalist politics for a united India. It could thus, interfere with the ability of Muslim individuals to live by their religious norms and religious practices.

Proponents of the Uniform Civil Code would overlook these difficulties for Muslim women who seek to be religious, which is implicitly defining feminism in a ‘secular’ manner, presenting the
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same problems as mainstream Western feminism. Many major women’s organisations seem to have withdrawn support for such a code because of the increasing exploitation of their cause by Hindu nationalists. Consequently, some scholars—both Muslim and otherwise—have suggested codification of MPL as an alternative.

At the same time, the limits of intersectionality must also be recognized. It can be argued that the framework of intersectionality limits itself to prevent oppression and not to protect a woman’s religious identity. However, Jakeet Singh’s identification of the relationship between intersectionality and religious women’s agency can be used to argue against this view. Singh argues that “critiques of, and resistance to, power and oppression are always grounded in a particular ethical-political formation”, which makes it so that power and oppression in a society cannot be seen in isolation since the responses to these are created from within that ethical-political formation. This is evident in the rejection of feminism by many Muslims, with a great number being women who view it as a Western notion that is disregarding and unaccommodating of the cultural values of their religion and community. Feminism often appears to many Muslim women as another hegemonizing force— the civilising mission of sexual politics. Muslim women fear derision for their
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This makes it important to include a feminism that both validates their religion and experiences while at the same time reforms laws for their welfare.

B. Muslim Identity

Amina Wadud stated that defining Islam provides power. Western media defines Islam as whatever Muslims do, such as oppressing women despite principles, ideals and values to the contrary. It caricatures Muslim men as ‘polygamous and abusive’, and Muslim women as ‘veiled, shackled, and secluded’. Western feminists, believing Islam to be irretrievably patriarchal, create binaries between believing Muslims and feminists, from which Muslim women must choose one. Islamic Feminism is a principled method for women not to have to be governed by male interpretations of Islam and to be able to define Islam for themselves, who choose to define it as unaccepting of patriarchy. This can develop a jurisprudence that breaks this binary of Muslim/feminist by letting a woman be both at the same time, with no fundamental contradictions.

Identity as Muslims is crucial in such a discussion. Laws do not exist in a vacuum but rather in a political society. Even more than the alleged divine nature of MPL, Indian Muslim jurists have resisted reforms since it is viewed as an attack on their Muslim identity. But what is more important is that Muslim women equally identify as Muslims. Relying on an empirical study, Engineer has argued that
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Muslim women do not favour changes in MPL even though they detest the current laws.\textsuperscript{100} A Malaysian author also writes, “\textit{For most Muslim women, rejecting religion is not an option. We are believers, and as believers we want to find liberation, truth, and justice from within our own faith}”.\textsuperscript{101} However, this is a conscious choice to believe — not a coercive one — which is emancipatory at the same time, keeping the personal and religious autonomy of the Muslim woman intact and not presenting them as ‘passive victims’\textsuperscript{102} The limits of intersectionality will then have to be pushed to reach the understanding that religious identity can be of key importance to the Muslim woman. Inclusion of religion into feminism would firstly, assist in developing legal reforms in MPL that are not solely made out of experiences of men and secondly, protect the religious autonomy of women by not imposing any secular laws in opposition of religious practices, such as a Uniform Civil Code which may not be neutral in character. This has been the case in Tunisia, where, by the exercise of \textit{ijtihad}, the reformers made use of a reading which is the same as the Islamic feminist endeavour illustrated above, to deny men the right to polygyny.\textsuperscript{103} While its politics of \textit{shari’a} and its reform can be distinguished from India, the point remains that a woman-friendly re-interpretation of Islam can be and has been employed to change the Muslim family laws.

Instead of being opposed to secular feminism, Islamic feminism is an independent site or middle space ‘between secular feminism and

\textsuperscript{100} Asghar Ali Engineer, ‘Status of Muslim Women’ (1994) 29(6) Economic and Political Weekly 297-300, 298.
\textsuperscript{102} ibid (n 7) 59.
masculinist Islamism’. An illustration is found in Wadud’s interpretation of talaq, which states that there need not be complete removal of a woman’s power to repudiate marriage. The Qur’an does not prohibit a woman’s right to divorce, which can assist in justifying laws such as the Dissolution of Muslim Marriages Act, 1939, which gives Muslim women the right to get a judicial divorce. The Shah Bano judgment then is not in opposition to the Islamic feminist reformatory strategy either. Looking at MPL through an intersectional lens, Islamic feminism is identified as a beneficial solution to the dilemmas of feminism versus Islam that Muslims get trapped in.

Religion has always played a great role in the construction of legal norms and change. Brown v. Board of Education would have likely been circumvented by American citizens if Christian abolitionists would have not relied on Biblical arguments to debunk the religious prescription of slavery. Mackinnon herself has greatly influenced feminist jurisprudence and brought about legal change by changing the narrative through pushing boundaries of awareness of oppression by the law. While it is true that a change in the narrative is required for a legal change to be effective (or at times happen in the first place), it can be argued that for religious reforms, this change in narrative is insufficient if not accompanied by a change in the scriptural interpretation, which provides scriptural support to the change in narrative.
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VI. CONCLUSION

This article has argued that feminist jurisprudence, by overlooking the importance of a religious identity of a Muslim woman is harming the woman by 1) maintaining the view of her as a ‘passive victim’ of Islam, thereby contributing to the idea that Islam is inherently patriarchal and hence the woman is only a coerced subject of it and 2) by reducing her religious autonomy by not recognizing her identity as only a woman and not Muslim. The experience of one person as Muslim and woman are inseparable. The experiences of being both create a site for the intersection of religion and gender, which inevitably include the hierarchical rules of MPL that govern these women. An analysis in intersectionality was then performed to argue that Islamic feminism serves as a medium for Muslim women to resist and reform the specific oppression faced by them. Women are just as Muslims as men are. Women-inclusive *tafsir* shows drastic differences in the Qur’an’s meanings. The conservative assumption about regressive practices promoting gender hierarchies are not argued to not be rooted in and are even contradicted by the Qur’an. Islamic feminists recognize that while mere reinterpretation is not enough, it is still a valuable tool.\(^{107}\) Not only does it delegitimize religious sanction to gender hierarchies, but it also provides Muslim women and men methods to search for an equal, unoppressive society within their religion, especially by deconstructing and reconstructing *fiqh*. Thus, looking at the Qur’an as a trans-historic and trans-cultural text and re-interpreting it constantly to find the best meaning is necessary.